Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council

Planning Committee

15th September 2021

Index of Applications

Application No & Agenda Page Ref	Premises, Application and Applicant	Recommendation
DC/19/63045	Proposed erection of a multi- storey car park (sui generis) and a mixed-use building of	Refuse permission No further
Soho and Victoria	between 6 and 9 storeys to include 201 dwellings (Use Class C3) and commercial	comments
Page 13	floorspace (flexible within Use Classes A1 (shops), A2 (financial and professional), A3 (restaurants), A4 (drinking establishments), A5 (hot food takeaways), D2 (assembly and leisure) or mix thereof), office (Use Class B1) plus associated amenity space and demolition of existing buildings. PJ House London Street Smethwick B66 2SH	
DC/21/65661	Proposed 1 No. 5 bedroom dwelling.	Grant Permission Subject to
Smethwick	Land Rear Of 49 Basons Lane Oldbury B68 9SJ	Conditions
Page 69	Mr Zahit Hussain	No further comments

DC/21/65731	Proposed change of use of	Grant Permission
20,21,00101	existing dwelling with two	Subject to
Bristnall	storey side extension to	Conditions
	create 2 No. assisted living	
	apartments and construction	Further objection
Page 83	of new building to land	received
	adjacent to create a further 2	
	No. assisted living apartments	
	(4 No. in total) with	
	associated parking and	
	amenity space.	
	25 Brandhall Road Oldbury	
	B68 8DP	
	Mr Richard Goss	Orant Demainsion
DC/21/65851	Proposed two storey	Grant Permission with external
Oldbury	front/rear, single storey front/side/rear extensions,	materials
Olubuly	raising height of eaves line,	materials
	loft conversion, rear dormer	Further objection
Page 103	window, front gates, boundary	received
	walls and fence infill to front	
	and side of property.	
	5 Roway Lane Oldbury B69	
	3EG	
	Mrs Nusrat Begum	

DC/21/65896	Proposed Variation of	Grant Permission
DC/21/03090	Condition 1 (to amend the	Subject to
Soho & Victoria	internal ground floor layout,	Conditions
		Conditions
	external alterations, car	No furthor
Page 115	parking layout and to exclude	No further
	part demolition to front	comments
	elevation and single storey	
	front/rear extensions from the	
	proposal) and removal of	
	Condition 12 (the	
	archaeological desktop study	
	as no excavations would be	
	required) to planning	
	permission DC/20/64748	
	(Proposed change of use	
	from Pakistani Community	
	Centre to Supermarket (use	
	class E) including part	
	demolition to front elevation,	
	single storey front and rear	
	extensions and external	
	alternations including shop	
	front, roller shutters and car	
	park).	
	Pakistani Community Centre	
	1 - 7 Corbett Street	
	Smethwick B66 3PU	
	Mr M Safeer And T Iqbal	
PD/21/01846	Proposed 15 metre high	Prior Approval
	monopole and 4 no.	Required Grant
Newton	equipment cabinets and	Conditions
	ancillary works.	
Page 129	Telecommunication Mast	Further objections
	SWL 13229 Land Fronting	received (27 in
	202 Newton Road Great Barr	total)
	Birmingham	
DC/21/650/7	Proposed two storey side and	Grant Permission
DC/21/65947	Proposed two storey side and	
Charlement With	rear extensions, front porch	with external
Charlemont With	and canopy.	materials
Grove Vale	1 Stanley Road West	No further
Page 147	Bromwich B71 3JH	No further
	Mr S Qader	comments

14.09.2021 - I note that my predicted text has altered some of my words for which I can only apologise. Should read bombing round not committing.

Another point that on my original objections was that the Properties are for Assisted living and that in the comments put forward from the proposer states that they will not need carers, physio or any additional people visiting on a regular basis. Surely assisted living is that the people living there need some form of assistance hence the title Assisted living. Further clarification is needed

Who and how will they be assisted.

Articulated lorries delivering to Nisa store are parked on either side of this road just off the island and many use Shire close to reverse up and turn to return to the shops as there is no parking space available on the road outside the Nisa. Some have even parked halfway down Brandhall rd and had to push the pallets of goods up the road to the shops. Things to concider.

Whilst I am not apposed to the build the traffic congestion, speeding cars and people using the pavement as a drive through in front of the shops is hazardous to the residents and pedestrians around this island and its approaching roads.

13.09.2021 - I want it noted that myself and several local residents are concerned for the safety of people crossing the road at the proposed site of this new build. There is a lot of on street parking at and around the site proposed build due to the commercial businesses and there customers parking. Twice in the last week I have witnessed 2 near accidents on the corner. The first being a lady in a mobility scooter trying to cross from 25 using the bolards crossing and a younge school girl using the same crossing. On both occasions a car vomming from Geaoge rd traveling at speed came round the corner virtualy on 2 wheels The lady just made it to the centre of the crossing and the girl had to run out of the othet cars way. Whilst I don't object to the build some form of traffic calming is needed around this island and down Brandhall Rd and the assosiated rds approaching this island. Many cars hit the island due to the traffic congestion. Please don't ignore the problem of the parking around this area and the speed cars travel and wait for someone to be killed .

I cannot attend the meeting but wish these comments to be raised and minuted.

Also

A 30mph reminder flashing sign is in use in Brandhall rd but motorists ignore this and travel in excess of this up and down the road out of peak travel times Whilst i appreciate this road is an emergency service route and speed humps may not be an opption a speed camera might be of use to slow down traffic or some other form of traffic carming is required

This email is regarding the proposed planning permission/extension on property number 5 Roway Lane Oldbury B69 3EG Reference number DC/21/65851 Alternative Reference PP-09993989

Please note that we do not entirely disagree with the proposed planning at the above address, our main concerns are the viewing restrictions that will be affected onto Theodore Close one the extension leads onto the grass pavement. Which we believe is unfair for the current residents as previous home owners have been restricted in the past and were unable to extend onto the grass verge as it would have restricted the viewing and pose a possible danger when coming onto Theodore Close.

We urge you to prompt the current home owners to reconsider the layout of their plan. The road onto Theodore Close is already a tight road and we also fear the safety of drivers and pedestrians coming in and out of the close. As there aren't any parking restrictions currently in place such as double yellow lines, drivers can park their cars freely on either side of the road, however with the new proposed layout, those who resides onto Theodore Close and have driveways leading onto the road may have difficulties getting off and on their driveway.

Thank you for time and I await your response

PD/21/01846

